

**Accession number:** 1992-24/39f/r

**Description:** Large sheet of paper, originally folded in half, written on both sides in pencil. Watermark, 'RUSE & TURNERS 1817'. Draft notes on the case of Mrs Garrick's title to the objects in dispute.

**Transcript:**

Mr Beltz[?] observes that in a Common Case & prima facie, it would be presumed that the Articles enumerated, had become the property of M<sup>rs</sup> Garrick by some arrangement with the parties [*sic*] interested and this presumption appears on the present case to be strengthened by the following circumstances.

The Testator <sup>who in fact</sup> directed ~~his residuary property to be sold & disposed of to the best advantage~~ all the rest of his personal estate whatever not specifically given to be with all convenient speed sold & disposed of the best advantage, and out of the money to arise therefrom to pay the legacies, but in fact the legacies could not be paid in full for want of funds till more than 20 years after his death. Instead therefore of there being no necessity for a sale of any such articles, as is stated in the Case proposed to M<sup>r</sup> Beltz There was a great necessity for converting into money all the articles not specifically bequeathed M<sup>rs</sup> Garrick.

That M<sup>r</sup> Wallis, not [incomplete]

M<sup>rs</sup> Garrick who certainly had a great veneration for every thing of a personal nature which belonged to her Husband would not have been satisfied to have held them <sup>of</sup> at her pleasure [a few words too faint to read] & in fact

*Reverse:* M<sup>rs</sup> Garrick certainly considered, all the articles such as Snuff boxes Rings 7 the prints as her own. She gave a ring to Mr Elliston, & a Cross <sup>the deceased Snuff boxes rings and prints</sup> Mr Kean and the ---ugh always considering himself As Steward to the family with respect to Household goods furniture <sup>the</sup> Pictures, of all which articles the inventory was taken.